

# The Randomized Setting for Linear Multivariate Problems Defined over $L_2$

Henryk Woźniakowski  
Columbia University and University of Warsaw

We study the approximation of a continuous linear operator  $S_d : F_d \rightarrow G_d$ , where  $F_d$  is a weighted  $L_2$  space of  $d$ -variate functions and  $G_d$  is an arbitrary Hilbert space. The approximation is considered in the randomized setting for algorithms from the class  $\Lambda^{\text{std}}$  if they use only function values, or from the class  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$  if they use arbitrary linear functionals. It is known that randomization for the class  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$  does not help in the sense that it yields essentially the same results as in the worst case setting for the class  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$ . Our main goal is to verify what is the power of the class  $\Lambda^{\text{std}}$  in the randomized setting and when it matches the power of  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$  in the randomized or, equivalently, in the worst case setting.

In particular, we prove that the  $n$ th minimal randomized error of algorithms that use at most  $n$  function values at randomized points for approximation of a continuous linear functional  $S_d$  is

$$e^{\text{ran}}(n, S_d; \Lambda^{\text{std}}) = \frac{a_n \|S_d\|}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{with} \quad a_n \in [1/2, 1].$$

Hence, all non-zero continuous functionals  $S_d$  admit essentially the same error bounds and the speed of convergence in the randomized setting.

On the other hand, if the singular values of  $S_d$  behave as  $\Theta(n^{-p})$  then the  $n$ th minimal errors for the classes  $\Lambda^{\text{std}}$  and  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$  behave respectively as

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\text{ran}}(n, S_d; \Lambda^{\text{std}}) &= \Theta\left(n^{-\min(1/2, p)}\right), \\ e^{\text{ran}}(n, S_d; \Lambda^{\text{all}}) &= \Theta\left(n^{-p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $p \leq 1/2$  the power of  $\Lambda^{\text{std}}$  is the same as the power of  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$ .

We also study various notions of tractability, i.e., how the minimal randomized errors depend on the number  $d$  of variables. It turns out that tractability for classes  $\Lambda^{\text{std}}$  and  $\Lambda^{\text{all}}$  are equivalent but sometimes with different exponents of tractability.