Computer Modelling and Simulation of Haematopoietic Stem Cells Migration

Gergana Bencheva

Department of Scientific Computations Institute of Information and Communication Technologies Bulgarian Academy of Sciences





This work is supported in part by the Bulgarian NSF grants DO 02-214/2008, DO 02-147/2008.

Outline

| ΝЛ | Otiv | /ati/ | nn |
|----|------|-------|-----|
| 11 | UUV | au | ווע |
| | | | |

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

- Motivation
- Model of HSCs' migration
- FEM based simulation with COMSOL Multiphysics
- Finite volume approximation
- Time integration
- Concluding remarks

Motivation

- Haematopoiesis
- HSCs after BMT ...
- Previous work

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

Motivation

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Blood cells production and regulation

Haematopoietic pluripotent stem cells (HSCs) in bone marrow give birth to the three blood cell types.

Growth factors or Colony Stimulating Factors (CSF) – specific proteins that stimulate the production and maturation of each blood cell type.

Blast cells – blood cells that have not yet matured.

| Blood cell type | Function | Growth factors |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Erythrocyte | Transport oxygen to tissues | Erythropoietin |
| Leukocyte | Fight infections | G-CSF, M-CSF, GM-CSF, Interleukins |
| Thrombocyte | Control bleeding | Thrombopoietin |

Various hematological diseases (including leukaemia) are characterized by abnormal production of particular blood cells (matured or blast).

Main stages in the therapy of blood diseases:

- **TBI:** Total Body Irradiation kill the "tumour" cells, but also the healthy ones.
- **BMT:** Bone Marrow Transplantation stem cells of a donor (collected under special conditions) are put in the peripheral blood.

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 4 / 33

HSCs after BMT ...

1. find their way to the stem cell niche in the bone marrow; and ...

2. self-renew and differentiate to regenerate the patient's blood system



T. Lapidot, A. Dar, O. Kollet, 2005

T. Suda, F. Arai, A. Hirao, 2005 G.B. et.al., 2012

Adequate computer models would help medical doctors to shorten the period in which the patient is missing their effective immune system.

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 5 / 33

Previous work

Motivation

- Haematopoiesis
- HSCs after BMT ...
- Previous work
- Model of HSCs' migration
- FEM with COMSOL
- FV approximation
- Time integration
- Concluding remarks

- A. Kettemann, M. Neuss-Radu, J. Math. Biol. vol. 56 (2008)
 - \circ Chemotaxis model with nonzero flux BCs
 - Conditions for existence of unique positive solution of the model
 - A. Gerisch, M. Chaplain, Math. Comp. Mod. vol. 43 (2006),A. Chertock, A. Kurganov, Numer. Math. vol. 111 (2008),Y. Epshteyn, JCAM vol. 224 (2009)
 - (Extended) chemotaxis model with zero flux BCs
 - Spatial discretization: Finite Volume Method / DG FEM
 - Time integration: Euler scheme, IMEX scheme, SSP RK methods
- G. Bencheva, Comp. Math. Appl. (2012)
 - (Extended) chemotaxis model with nonzero flux BCs
 - FEM based simulation with COMSOL Multiphysics
 - Second-order finite volume based space discretization

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

- Involved data
- The model

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

Model of HSCs' migration

Involved data

Unknowns:

 $s(t, \mathbf{x})$ – concentration of HSCs in $\Omega \in R^2$ $a(t, \mathbf{x})$ – concentration of chemoattractant $b(t, \mathbf{x})$ – concentration of stem cells bound to stroma cells at the boundary part Γ_1

 $s(t, \mathbf{x}) \ge 0, \ a(t, \mathbf{x}) \ge 0, \ b(t, \mathbf{x}) \ge 0$

Parameters:

 ε – random motility coefficient of HSCs $\chi(a)$ – chemotactic sensitivity function D_a – diffusion coefficient of chemoattractant γ – consumption rate-constant for SDF-1 $c(\mathbf{x})$ – concentration of stroma cells on Γ_1 $\beta(t,b)$ – proportionality function in the production rate of chemoattractant



The model (A. Kettemann, M. Neuss-Radu, 2008)

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

Involved data

• The model

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t s = \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla s - s \nabla \chi(a)), & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \partial_t a = D_a \Delta a - \gamma a s, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \partial_{\nu} s - s \chi'(a) \partial_{\nu} a) &= \begin{cases} c_1 s - c_2 b \,, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_1 \\ 0 \,, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_2 \end{cases} \\ D_a \partial_{\nu} a &= \begin{cases} \beta(t, b) c(\mathbf{x}) \,, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_1 \\ 0 \,, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_2 \end{cases} \\ \partial_t b &= c_1 s - c_2 b \,, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_1 \text{ and } b = 0, & \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma_2 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

 $s(0,\mathbf{x}) = s_0(\mathbf{x}), \ a(0,\mathbf{x}) = a_0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ in } \Omega$, and $b(0,\mathbf{x}) = b_0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ on } \Gamma_1$

Existence of unique solution is ensured by certain conditions for the involved functions.

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 9 / 33

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

- Test data
- Numerical Results

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

FEM based simulation with COMSOL Multiphysics

Numerical simulation with COMSOL Multiphysics (G.B., 2012)

- Linear finite elements for space discretization (nonuniform mesh);
- Implicit time integration;
- Direct or Iterative solution of linearized system.



M1: Triangular (Advancing front)





M2: Triangular (Delaunay)



M3: Quadrilateral

Test data

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

• Test data

Numerical Results

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

$$\Omega = (0, 1.5) \times (0, 1), \ \Gamma_1 = \{x_1 = 1.5\}, \ \Delta t = 0.1$$

$$c(x_2) = 0.01(1 + 0.2\sin(5\pi x_2)), \ \beta(t, b) = V(t)\beta^*(b) \text{ with}$$

$$V(t) = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 4t^2(3 - 4t) & \text{for } t \le 0.5\\ 1 & \text{for } t > 0.5\end{array}\right\} \text{ and } \beta^*(b) = \frac{0.005}{0.005 + b^2}$$

$$\chi(a) = 10a \quad \chi(a) = \log (a + 1)$$

$$\varepsilon = 0.0015, D_a = 2, \gamma = 0.1, c_1 = 0.3, c_2 = 0.5$$

$$a_0 = 0, b_0 = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$s_0(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} (1 + \cos(5\pi(x_1 - 0.4)))sin(\pi x_2), & \text{for } 0.2 \le x_1 \le 0.6 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Solution s(t, x) and b(t, x) with for M1ef

Solution time: 21 s, 30 iterations, T = 6.3. M1ef (triangular): Number of elements 4 268, Degrees of freedom 17 479



Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 13 / 33

Solution s(t, x) and b(t, x) with for M2ef

Solution time: 34 s, 30 iterations, T = 6.3.

M2ef (triangular, Delaunay): Number of elements 7 160, Degrees of freedom 29 047



Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 14 / 33

Solution s(t, x) and b(t, x) with for M3ef

Solution time: 18 s, 30 iterations, T = 6.3. M3ef (quadrangular): Number of elements 1 777, Degrees of freedom 14 623



Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 15 / 33

Remarks

Motivation

• Test data

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

Numerical Results

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

- **Observations:**
 - Not always convergent Ο
 - Negative values and oscillations for the solution
- Needed improvements in the direction of:
 - Extended model with appropirate space discretization Ο
 - Robust discretization of nonzero boundary conditions Ο
 - Explicit efficient time integration Ο

0

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

- Conservation form
- Semi-discrete scheme
- Interior cells
- Neighbour to b. cells
- Boundary cells
- \bullet Case Γ^W
- ullet Evaluation of $ar{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}$

Time integration

Concluding remarks

Second-order finite volume approximation

Initial system in conservation form (Chertock, Kurganov, 2008)

$$\begin{split} s_{t} &+ \left(s\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial a}p\right)_{x} &+ \left(s\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial a}q\right)_{y} &= \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon \nabla s) \quad \mathbf{x} = (x,y) \in \Omega \\ a_{t} &= D_{a}\Delta a - \gamma as \ p(t,\mathbf{x}) := \left(a(t,\mathbf{x})\right)_{x} \\ p_{t} &+ (\gamma as)_{x} &= D_{a}\Delta p \\ q_{t} &+ (\gamma as)_{y} &= D_{a}\Delta q \quad q(t,\mathbf{x}) := \left(a(t,\mathbf{x})\right)_{y} \\ \\ &\text{Boundary conditions:} & \text{Initial conditions:} \\ -(\varepsilon \partial_{\nu}s - s\chi'(a)\partial_{\nu}a) &= s(0,\mathbf{x}) \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{1}s - c_{2}b, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & a(0,\mathbf{x}) = s_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} c_{1}s - c_{2}b, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & p(0,\mathbf{x}) = p_{0}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \left(a_{0}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{x} \\ p_{a}\partial_{\nu}a &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x}), & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & p(0,\mathbf{x}) = q_{0}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \left(a_{0}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{y} \\ D_{a}\partial_{\nu}p &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})\right)_{x}, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & \text{Evolution of } b(t,\mathbf{x}): \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & b = 0, \text{ on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ D_{a}\partial_{\nu}q &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})\right)_{y}, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{1} \\ 0, & \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \end{array} \right. & b = 0, \text{ on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{2} \\ b(0,\mathbf{x}) &= b_{0}(\mathbf{x}), \text{ on } \Gamma_{1} \end{array} \right. \end{aligned}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Initial system in conservation form – cont.

PDF ODE $\frac{d\mathbf{U}}{dt} + div\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}), \quad t \in (0,T), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \qquad \frac{db}{dt} = B(\mathbf{U},b), \ t \in (0,T), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_1$ $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{U}, b, \mathbf{x}, t), \qquad t \in (0, T), \ \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \quad \stackrel{at}{b(0, \mathbf{x})} = b_0(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_1$ $b = 0, t \in (0, T), \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_2$ $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}},$ $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ $\mathbf{U} = (s, a, p, q)^T, \ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{U}) = (s\chi_a p, 0, \gamma as, 0)^T, \ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{U}) = (s\chi_a q, 0, 0, \gamma as)^T$ $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U}) = (\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) + (-\Lambda \nabla \mathbf{U} F_d(\mathbf{U}))$ $=: \mathbf{F}_{c}(\mathbf{U}) =: \mathbf{F}_{d}(\mathbf{U})$ $\Lambda = diaq(\varepsilon, D_a, D_a, D_a), \ \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}) = (0, -\gamma as, 0, 0)^T, \ \omega = \gamma as\chi$ $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{U}}: \quad \lambda_1^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi p - \sqrt{4\omega + (\chi p)^2} \right), \ \lambda_2^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi p + \sqrt{4\omega + (\chi p)^2} \right), \ \lambda_{3,4}^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}) = 0,$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{U}}: \quad \lambda_1^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi q - \sqrt{4\omega + (\chi q)^2} \right), \ \lambda_2^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{U}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\chi q + \sqrt{4\omega + (\chi q)^2} \right), \ \lambda_{3,4}^{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{U}) = 0.$$

Finite volume method

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

- Conservation form
- Semi-discrete scheme
- Interior cells
- Neighbour to b. cells
- Boundary cells
- Case Γ^W
- Evaluation of $\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}$

Time integration

Concluding remarks

$$\bar{\Omega} = [0, A] \times [0, B], \ A, B > 0, \quad \Delta x = \frac{A}{N_x}, \ \Delta y = \frac{B}{N_y}$$
$$\Omega = \bigcup C_{j,k}, \ j = 1, \dots, N_x, \ k = 1, \dots, N_y,$$
$$\partial \Omega = \Gamma^E \cup \Gamma^W \cup \Gamma^N \cup \Gamma^S$$





$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \iint_{C_{j,k}} U(x,y,t) dx dy - \text{unknowns of the discrete}$$

system

Piecewise linear reconstruction $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}$ for \mathbf{U} obtained at each time step: $\tilde{\mathbf{U}}(x, y) := \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} + (\mathbf{U}_x)_{j,k}(x - x_j) + (\mathbf{U}_y)_{j,k}(y - y_k), \quad (x, y) \in C_{j,k}$ should be conservative, nonoscillatory and positivity preserving.

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 20 / 33

Semi-discrete scheme

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

- Conservation form
- Semi-discrete scheme
- Interior cells
- Neighbour to b. cells
- Boundary cells
- \bullet Case Γ^W
- ullet Evaluation of $ar{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}$

Time integration

Concluding remarks

$$\begin{split} \iint_{C_{j,k}} \mathbf{U}_t \, dx dy + \iint_{C_{j,k}} div(\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \, dx dy &= \iint_{C_{j,k}} \mathbf{R} \, dx dy \,, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}(t) + \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \int_{\partial C_{j,k}} (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma &= \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,k} \,, \\ I_{j,k}^c &= \int_{\partial C_{j,k}} \mathbf{F}_c \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma \, \text{ and } I_{j,k}^d = \int_{\partial C_{j,k}} \mathbf{F}_d \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\gamma \\ I_{j,k}^c + I_{j,k}^d &= \int_{\Gamma_{j,k}^E} (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \cdot \mathbf{n}_E \, d\gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{j,k}^W} (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \cdot \mathbf{n}_W \, d\gamma \,, \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma_{j,k}^N} (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \cdot \mathbf{n}_N \, d\gamma + \int_{\Gamma_{j,k}^S} (\mathbf{F}_c + \mathbf{F}_d) \cdot \mathbf{n}_S \, d\gamma \,, \end{split}$$

Integrals on $\Gamma^W_{1,k}$, $\Gamma^E_{N_x,k}$, $\Gamma^S_{j,1}$ and Γ^N_{j,N_y} are computed from b.c.

Semi-discrete scheme – interior cells $j = 3, ..., N_x - 2, k = 3, ..., N_y - 2$



$$\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} = \frac{\Lambda}{\Delta x} (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j+1,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}), \ \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} = \frac{\Lambda}{\Delta x} (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j-1,k}) \mathbf{Q}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} = \frac{\Lambda}{\Delta y} (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k+1} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}), \ \mathbf{Q}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y} = \frac{\Lambda}{\Delta y} (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k-1})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,k} = \frac{1}{\Delta x \Delta y} \iint_{C_{j,k}} R(U(x,y,t)) dx dy - \text{computed using midpoint rule}$$

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration - 22 / 33

Semi-discrete scheme – interior cells (cont.)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} = -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \Lambda \left[\frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j+1,k} - 2\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} + \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j-1,k}}{(\Delta x)^{2}} + \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k+1} - 2\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} + \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k-1}}{(\Delta y)^{2}}\right] + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,k}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} = \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{E}) - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{U}_{j+1,k}^{W})}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} + \frac{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}}{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{+} - a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{-}} \left[\mathbf{U}_{j+1,k}^{W} - \mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{E}\right]}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{N}) - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{U}_{j,k+1}^{S})} + \frac{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}}{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{+} - b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{-}} \left[\mathbf{U}_{j,k+1}^{S} - \mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{N}\right]$$

$$a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^{\pm}, b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{\pm}$$
 – computed from $\lambda_i^{\mathbf{f}}, \lambda_i^{\mathbf{g}}$ for $\mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{E}, \mathbf{U}_{j+1,k}^{W}, \mathbf{U}_{j,k}^{N}, \mathbf{U}_{j,k+1}^{S}$
 $\lambda_i^{\mathbf{f}}(U), \lambda_i^{\mathbf{g}}(U)$ – eigenvalues of $\frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \mathbf{U}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{U}}$

Semi-discrete scheme – interior cells (cont.)

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{U}_x)_{j,k} &= \min \left(\Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j-1,k}}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j+1,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j-1,k}}{2\Delta x}, \Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j+1,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}}{\Delta x} \right) \\ (\mathbf{U}_y)_{j,k} &= \min \left(\Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k-1}}{\Delta y}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k+1} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k-1}}{2\Delta y}, \Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k+1} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,k}}{\Delta y} \right) \\ \min (z_1, z_2, \dots) &:= \begin{cases} \min_j \{z_j\}, & \text{if } z_j > 0 \quad \forall j, \\ \max_j \{z_j\}, & \text{if } z_j < 0 \quad \forall j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 24 / 33

Semi-discrete scheme – neighbour to boundary cells

$$j = 2, j = N_x - 1, \quad k = 2, \dots, N_y - 1$$

 $j = 2, \dots, N_x - 1, \quad k = 2, k = N_y - 1$



Neighbour to boundary cell

$$\begin{split} (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k})_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{\frac{5}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{x}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{2,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{2,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\frac{5}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{2,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{2,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{2,k} \\ (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{N_{x}-1,k})_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{N_{x}-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{N_{x}-\frac{3}{2},k}^{x}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{N_{x}-1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{N_{x}-1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{N_{x}-1+\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{N_{x}-1-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{N_{x}-1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{N_{x}-1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,2})_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},2}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},2}^{x}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{5}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{3}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},2}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},2}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j,\frac{5}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{j,\frac{3}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ (\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,N_{y}-1})_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{x}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{5}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{3}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},2}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},2}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{y}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{9}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,N_{y}-\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,N_{y}-\frac{3}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},N_{y}-1}^{y}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j,N_{y}-\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{j,N_{y}-1\frac{3}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,N_{y}-1} \end{split}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 25 / 33

Semi-discrete scheme – boundary cells

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{j,k}^{l} := \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{j,k}^{l}|} \int_{\Gamma_{j,k}^{l}} \mathbf{h}^{l} d\bar{\gamma}, \quad j = 1, \ j = N_{x}, \ k = 1, \dots, N_{y}$$
$$j = 1, \dots, N_{x}, \ k = 1, \ k = N_{y}$$



Neighbour to boundary cell

$$\begin{split} \left(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k}\right)_{t} &= \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{x} - \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{1,k}^{W}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{1,k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{1,k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{1,k} \,, \\ \left(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{N_{x},k}\right)_{t} &= \frac{\mathbf{H}_{N_{x}-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{N_{x}-\frac{1}{2},k}^{x} - \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{N_{x},k}^{E}}{\Delta x} - \frac{\mathbf{H}_{N_{x},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{N_{x},k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{N_{x},k+\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{N_{x},k-\frac{1}{2}}^{y}}{\Delta y} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{N_{x},k} \,, \\ \left(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,1}\right)_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},1}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},1}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j,\frac{3}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{H}_{j,\frac{3}{2}}^{y} - \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{j,1}^{S}}{\Delta y} + \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},1}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},1}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,1} \,, \\ \left(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,N_{y}}\right)_{t} &= -\frac{\mathbf{H}_{j+\frac{1}{2},N_{y}}^{x} - \mathbf{H}_{j-\frac{1}{2},N_{y}}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\mathbf{H}_{j,N_{y}-\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \mathbf{Q}_{j,N_{y}-\frac{1}{2}}^{y} - \bar{\mathbf{h}}_{j,N_{y}}^{N}}{\Delta y} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{Q}_{j+\frac{1}{2},N_{y}}^{x} - \mathbf{Q}_{j-\frac{1}{2},N_{y}}^{x}}{\Delta x} + \bar{\mathbf{R}}_{j,N_{y}} \,. \end{split}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 26 / 33

Boundary cells and neighbours – case Γ^W

$$\bar{\mathbf{h}}_{1,k}^{W} := \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{1,k}^{W}|} \int_{\Gamma_{1,k}^{W}} \mathbf{h}^{W} d\bar{\gamma} = \mathbf{h}^{W}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{\frac{1}{2},k}, b_{\frac{1}{2},k}, x_{\frac{1}{2}}, y_{k}, t)$$
$$k = 1, \dots, N_{y}$$



$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H}_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{x} &= \frac{a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{+}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{U}_{1,k}^{E}) - a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-}\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{U}_{2,k}^{W})}{a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{+} - a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-}} + \frac{a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{+}a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-}a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-}}{a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{+} - u_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-}} \left[\mathbf{U}_{2,k}^{W} - \mathbf{U}_{1,k}^{E}\right]\\ a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{+} &= \max(\lambda_{2}^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}_{1,k}^{E}), \lambda_{2}^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}_{2,k}^{W}), 0), \quad a_{\frac{3}{2},k}^{-} = \min(\lambda_{1}^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}_{1,k}^{E}), \lambda_{1}^{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{U}_{2,k}^{W}), 0)\\ \mathbf{U}_{1,k}^{E} &= \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k} + \frac{\Delta x}{2}(\mathbf{U}_{x})_{1,k}, \quad \mathbf{U}_{2,k}^{W} = \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k} - \frac{\Delta x}{2}(\mathbf{U}_{x})_{2,k} \\ (\mathbf{U}_{x})_{1,k} &= \min \left(\Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}}{2\Delta x}, \Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k}}{\Delta x}\right)\\ (\mathbf{U}_{x})_{2,k} &= \min \left(\Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k}}{\Delta x}, \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{3,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k}}{2\Delta x}, \Theta \frac{\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{3,k} - \bar{\mathbf{U}}_{2,k}}{\Delta x}\right) \end{split}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 27 / 33

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{1,k}^{k} = \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{0,k}^{k} \text{ and } \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{\frac{1}{2},k}, \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma^{W} \in \Gamma_{1}, \chi(a) = \chi a \\ -(\varepsilon \partial_{\nu} U^{1} - s\chi'(U^{2}) \partial_{\nu} U^{2}) = c_{1} U^{1} - c_{2} b \\ D_{a} \partial_{\nu} U^{i} = B_{i}(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})), i = 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \varepsilon \frac{\partial U^{1}}{\partial x} + U^{1}\chi \frac{-\partial U^{2}}{\partial x} = c_{1} U^{1} - c_{2} b, \\ -\frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{D_{a}} B_{i}(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})) \\ \frac{\partial U^{1}}{\partial x} \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} + \overline{U}_{\frac{1}{2},k}^{1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\chi \beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})}{D_{a}} - c_{1} \right) \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} = -\frac{c_{2}}{\varepsilon} b \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} \\ \frac{\partial \overline{U}^{i}}{\partial x} \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} = \frac{1}{D_{a}} B_{i}(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})) \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)}, \quad i = 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial U^{i}}{\partial x} \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} = \frac{U_{1,k}^{i} - U_{0,k}^{i}}{\Delta x} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^{2}), \quad U_{\frac{1}{2},k}^{1} = \frac{3U_{0,k}^{1} + 6U_{1,k}^{1} - U_{2,k}^{1}}{8} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^{2}) \\ \frac{U_{1,k}^{1} - U_{0,k}^{1}}{\Delta x} + \frac{3U_{0,k}^{1} + 6U_{1,k}^{1} - U_{2,k}^{1}}{8} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\chi \beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})}{D_{a}} - c_{1} \right) \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} = -\frac{c_{2}}{\varepsilon} b \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)} \\ \frac{U_{1,k}^{i} - U_{0,k}^{i}}{\Delta x} = \frac{1}{D_{a}} B_{i}(\beta(t,b)c(\mathbf{x})) \Big|_{(\frac{1}{2},k)}, \quad i = 2, 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

G. Bencheva, Seminar Multiscale, May 28-30, 2012

Computer Modelling and Simulation of HSC Migration – 28 / 33

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

• Time integration

• Local time-stepping

Concluding remarks

Time integration

Time integration

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

- Time integration
- Time integration
- Local time-stepping

Concluding remarks

• Semi-discrete system: $\frac{d}{dt}\bar{\mathbf{U}}(t) = F(\bar{\mathbf{U}}, t)$

- Implicit schemes
 - high computational costs
 - \circ in some cases require time step restrictions
- Explicit Euler/ IMEX scheme (A. Chertock, A. Kurganov, 2008)
 - firts order accuracy
- $\circ \quad \text{integration with the smallest time step:} \\ \Delta t_{EE} \leq \min(\frac{\Delta}{8a}, \frac{\Delta y}{8b}, c), \quad \Delta t_{IMEX} \leq \min(\frac{\Delta x}{4a}, \frac{\Delta y}{4b}) \\ a := \max_{j,k} \{\max\{a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^+, -a_{j+\frac{1}{2},k}^-\}\}, b := \max_{j,k} \{\max\{b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^+, -b_{j,k+\frac{1}{2}}^-\}\} \\ c := \frac{(\Delta x)^2 (\Delta y)^2}{4((\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2)}$
- GOAL: Explicit scheme of second order accuracy with Local Time-Stepping (LTS)

Second-order RK based LTS methods (joint work with T. Mitkova)

• Main idea: take different timesteps for different components of the unknown vector with $\Delta t_{slow} = m \Delta t_{fast}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{fast}(t) \\ \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{slow}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F^{fast}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{fast}, \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{slow}, t) \\ F^{slow}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{fast}, \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{slow}, t) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Runge-Kutta based LTS
 - o for wave equations (M. Grote, M. Mehlin, T. Mitkova, 2012, in preparation)
 - o for nonlinear conservation laws (E. Constantinescu, A. Sandu, JSC 2007)
 - HSCs migration model (T. Mitkova, G.Bencheva ongoing work)

$\varepsilon \ll D_a$

arepsilon – diffusion coefficient for s
ightarrow integrate the equation with Δt_{fast}

 D_a – diffusion coefficient for $a, p, q \rightarrow$ integrate the equations with Δt_{slow}

$$\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{fast}(t) = \bar{\mathbf{U}}^1(t), \ \bar{\mathbf{U}}^{slow}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}^-(t) \\ \bar{\mathbf{U}}^3(t) \\ \bar{\mathbf{U}}^4(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\Gamma \overline{\tau} 2(4)$

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

Motivation

Model of HSCs' migration

FEM with COMSOL

FV approximation

Time integration

Concluding remarks

- Ongoing work
 - Development of own simulation package
 - Robust discretization of the nonlinear boundary conditions
 - Second order local time stepping time integration
- Further steps
 - Numerical study of the ranges for parameters where the model works or fails
 - Sensitivity analysis and parameter estimation
 - Parallel implementation of the numerical scheme

Thank you for your attention!